ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet



Glyoxal bis(phenylhydrazone) as promoter for Cul-catalyzed O-arylation of phenols with bromoarenes

Yu-Hua Liu a,b, Gang Li a,b, Lian-Ming Yang a,*

^a Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences (BNLMS), Laboratory of New Materials, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 September 2008 Revised 31 October 2008 Accepted 4 November 2008 Available online 7 November 2008

ABSTRACT

A very simple bishydrazone-type ligand, glyoxal bis(phenylhydrazone) (L1), was found to effectively promote the Cul-catalyzed O-arylation of phenols with aryl bromides. This cross-coupling reaction proceeded in acetonitrile at $60-80~^{\circ}$ C in the presence of K_3PO_4 as base. A diverse array of phenols and bromoarenes was employed as substrates to afford diaryl ethers in good to excellent yields, and some base-sensitive groups, such as ester, aldehyde, and ketone groups, can survive under the mild reaction conditions.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Aryl ethers are an important class of 'building blocks' that are widely employed in organic synthesis, materials science, and pharmaceutical or agrochemical areas. The Cu-catalyzed Ullmann-type coupling reaction between aryl halides and phenols is recognized as a convenient and economic method for rapid access to diarylethers. Major breakthroughs in the Ullmann condensations stemmed mainly from the introduction of organic additives into the reactions to form copper/ligand catalyst systems. Although ligand-free reaction conditions were utilized over a long period of time¹ and the study has been continued recently,² the use of ligands has proven to be significantly advantageous in improving the conditions, yields, and generality of reactions of this type. Representative ligands for copper-catalyzed diarylether synthesis included triphenylphosphine,³ neocuproine,⁴ N,N-dimethyl gly-2,2,6,6-tetramethylheptane-3,5-dione,⁷ multidentate ligands, and pyrrolidine-2-phosphonic acid monoester.9 Despite these remarkable advances, we found that most of the reactions were carried out at reaction temperatures of 100-110 °C, and there were only few examples that occurred at less than 100 °C.^{5,6} Hence, search for new, more active ligands remains to be of value and interest for Cu-catalyzed aromatic C-O couplings.

By considering the importance of steric/electronic natures and coordination diversity in the molecular design of ligands, we were strongly interested in glyoxal bishydrazones as potential ligands because (1) they are readily available from the condensation of glyoxal with hydrazines, and conveniently manipulated due to insensitivity to air/moisture; (2) they possess coordination versatility stemming from multifold σ - and π -donating properties as well as a flexible molecular backbone; and (3) their steric and electronic

Two glyoxal bishydrazones (L1 and L2) and, for comparison, two monohydrazones with the chelating property (L3 and L4) were chosen and examined (Fig. 1). Initially, a systematic screening of reaction conditions was performed using Cul/L1 as catalytic system in a model reaction of phenol and bromobenzene (Table 1). First, we found that the nature of reaction solvents seems to be very important for this reaction. In dioxane, a high yield of 90% could be obtained at $100\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the presence of K_3PO_4 as base (Table 1, entry 1); stronger bases (C_3CO_3 and t-BuOK) are less efficient as compared to weak bases (K_3PO_4 and K_2CO_3) (entries 1 and 2 vs entries 3 and 4). Toluene (entry 5) or THF (entries 6 and 7) as the reaction solvent gave a low yield of or no product under the similar conditions. To our pleasure, acetonitrile was found to be

Figure 1. Hydrazone-type ligands used in this study.

^b Graduate School of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

effects may be easily tuned by changing the groups on the hydrazine or aldehyde section. While the use of bishydrazone-type frameworks as ligands has been seen in palladium catalyses, ^{10,11} its utility in copper-catalyzed processes has not yet been demonstrated. Herein, we want to present our study on the application of glyoxal bishydrazone-type ligands to the copper-catalyzed diarylether synthesis.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: yanglm@iccas.ac.cn (L.-M. Yang).

Table 1Screening of reaction conditions for the Cu(I)-catalyzed coupling of phenol and bromohenzene^a

		Solvent		~	~	
Entry	Ligand	Catalyst	Base	Solvent	Temperature (°C)	Isolated yield (%)
1	L1	CuI	K ₃ PO ₄	Dioxane	100	90
2	L1	CuI	K_2CO_3	Dioxane	100	36
3	L 1	CuI	Cs_2CO_3	Dioxane	100	12
4	L1	CuI	KOBu ^t	Dioxane	100	10
5	L1	CuI	K_3PO_4	Toluene	100	49
6	L1	CuI	K_3PO_4	THF	70	None
7	L1	CuI	KOBu ^t	THF	70	None
8	L1	CuI	Cs_2CO_3	CH ₃ CN	80	82
9	L1	CuI	K_2CO_3	CH ₃ CN	80	80
10	L 1	CuI	K_3PO_4	CH₃CN	60	90
11	L 1	CuBr	K_3PO_4	CH ₃ CN	80	60
12	L 1	CuCl	K_3PO_4	CH ₃ CN	80	56
13	L 2	CuI	K_3PO_4	CH ₃ CN	80	57
14	L 2	CuI	K_3PO_4	Dioxane	100	37
15	L 3	CuI	K_3PO_4	CH ₃ CN	80	90
16	L 4	CuI	K_3PO_4	CH ₃ CN	80	37
17	None	CuI	K ₃ PO ₄	CH ₃ CN	80	None

^a Reaction conditions: bromobenzene (1.5 mmol), phenol (1 mmol), Cu(I) salt (10 mol %), ligand (10 mol %), base (2 mmol), solvent (1 mL), 12 h.

an excellent solvent in which the coupling reaction always gave appreciable yields whether strong or weak bases are used (entries 8-10). An excellent yield of 90% was achieved by performing the reaction with CuI-L1 catalyst system in acetonitrile at 60 °C in the presence of K₃PO₄ as base (entry 10). Subsequently, we investigated effects of copper(I) sources and other ligands on this reaction. It was found that the activity of cuprous halide in the reaction decreased in the order of CuI > CuBr > CuCl (entries 10-12). To our surprise, glyoxal bis(1-methyl-1-phenylhydrazone) (L2), the N,Ndimethylated derivative of L1, gave a dramatically reduced yield of 57% (entry 13). An attempt to elevate reaction temperatures could not offer a good outcome, either (entry 14), indicating that the proper choice of ligands plays a fundamental role in the reaction. Further, two monohydrazone-type ligands, 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde phenylhydrazone (L3) and salicylal phenylhydrazone (L4), were surveyed. As a result, L3 could work well if the reaction temperature is elevated from 60 °C to 80 °C (entry 15), while L4 was far inferior to L1 (entry 16). Then, the role of L1 in the reaction was demonstrated by a control reaction without the use of ligands (entry 17), where no diphenylether was formed. Finally, we set up the optimized reaction conditions as entry 10 in Table 1.

Under the optimized conditions, the coupling reaction between a range of phenols and aryl bromides was investigated to know about the scope of our method (Table 2). For phenols, electronneutral (Table 2, entries 1, 4–6, 19, and 20) and –rich ones (Table

Table 2O-Arylation of phenols with aryl bromides catalyzed by Cul/L1 system^a

Entry	Phenol	Aryl halide	Temperature (°C)	Isolated yield (%)
1	Д	Br	60	90
2	Ф-он	MeO——Br	80	75
3	Д	Br——Br	80	60 ^b
4	Ф-он	MeO Br	60	80
5	Д	H Br	60	92
6	Ф-он	OBr	60	80
7	Д	Me Br	80	60
8	Ме—ОН	MeO——Br	80	75
9	МеО	Br	60	92

Table 2 (continued)

Entry	Phenol	Aryl halide	Temperature (°C)	Isolated yield (%)
10	MeO———OH	MeO——Br	80	75
11	Bu ^t —OH	Me——Br	80	80
12	Bu ^t —OH	MeO——Br	80	65
13	EtO OH	∠ Br	80	65
14	СІ—ОН	∠ Br	80	80
15	O_2N —OH	⊘ Br	60	0
16	Me OH	∠ Br	60	80
17	Me —OH	MeO——Br	80	70
18	OMe OH	∠ Br	60	80
19	ОН	⊘ Br	60	78
20	ОН	∠ Br	60	75

a Reaction conditions: aryl bromide (1.5 mmol), phenol (1 mmol), CuI (10 mol %), L1 (10 mol %), K₃PO₄ (2 mmol), CH₃CN (1 mL), 60 °C, 12 h.

2, entries 10, 11, 16, and 18) were viable substrates, and offered the desired products in good to excellent yields. The tolerance of basesensitive groups on the phenol was observed (entry 13). On the other hand, phenols bearing weak electron-withdrawing group reacted smoothly (entries 13 and 14), while those bearing strong electron-withdrawing groups seemed difficult to undergo the Oarylation desired. For example, 4-nitrophenol (entry 15) and 4formalphenol (unlisted in Table 2) did not react at all, and the phenols were recovered. It is noteworthy that the steric hindrance of phenols would not exert a significant effect on this reaction since the reaction of 2-methyl and 2-methoxy phenols (entries 16–18), and 1-naphthol (entry 20) with some aryl bromides could give reasonable yields. This might be because the ortho-substituent on the phenol, compared to that on the bromide (see below), is a little farther away from copper center in the intermediate complex formed in the catalytic cycle steps, and hence would produce a smaller hindrance to formation of the complex.

As for bromoarenes, electron-neutral (Table 2, entries 1, 9, 16, and 18–20) and -deficient (entries 4–6) ones were good substrates for this reaction, providing the desired diaryl ethers in good to excellent yields. But the reaction of electron-rich bromoarenes (entries 2, 8, 10–12, and 17) was slightly difficult, and needed a higher reaction temperature of 80 °C to offer the desired products with good yields. On the other hand, the reaction was very sensitive to the steric effects of aryl bromides (entry 7). This reason might be attributed to what has been described above (see above). Alike, base-sensitive groups on the aryl bromide, such as ester (entry 4), aldehyde (entry 5), and non-enolized ketone (entry 6), can be tolerated under the reaction conditions. In addition, dibromobenzene can undergo a double-coupling reaction with a moderate yield when enough amounts of phenol are used (entry 3).

By surveying several selected cases, we found that the change in the relative molar ratio between bromoarene and phenol did not produce an essential effect on yields of the reaction. Based on

^b Dibromide (1 mmol), phenol (4 mmol). The double-etherified product, 4-phenoxydiphenylether, was afforded.

our own observation, a major side-reaction in the reaction might be debromination of aryl bromides (i.e., the reduction of aryl bromides to the corresponding arene). Additionally, in some cases, particularly for electron-rich bromoarene substrates, the self-coupling to produce diaryls was a detectable side-reaction.

In summary, a simple and efficient Cul/glyoxal bis(phenylhydrazone) catalytic system has been developed for the aromatic C–O coupling reaction. Under mild reaction conditions, diarylether could be obtained in good to excellent yields from cheaper starting materials, inexpensive reagents and easily handled reaction solvents. This protocol provides a useful alternative and complement to the corresponding copper and palladium processes for diarylether synthesis.

General procedure for the Cul-catalyzed O-arylation of phenols with aryl bromides: Under N_2 atmosphere, a 100-mL three-necked flask was charged with phenol (1 mmol), potassium phosphate (2 mmol), hydrazone ligand L1 (10 mol % with respect to phenol), Cul (10 mol % with respect to phenol), aryl bromide (1.5 mmol), and the dried CH₃CN (1 mL). Care was taken to make sure the contents were well stirred. The reaction mixture was then heated in an oil bath of 60 or 80 °C during times that are indicated in Table 2. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, mixed with 15 mL of diethyl ether, and filtered to remove any insoluble residues. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue purified by column chromatography on silica gel (60–90 °C petroleum ether) to obtain the analytically pure product in the isolated yields indicated in Table 2. All diarylether products in this Letter are known compounds, and are partly characterized by 1 H

NMR and MS, and compared to authentic samples or the literature data.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project Nos. 20672116 and 20872142) for financial support of this work.

References and notes

- For selected reviews on Ullmann reactions, see: (a) Lindley, J. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 1433–1456; (b) Sawyer, J. S. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 5045–5065; (c) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 2337–2364; (d) Corbet, J.-P.; Mignani, G. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2651–2710.
- Sperotto, E.; de Vries, J. G.; van Klink, G. P. M.; van Koten, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 7366–7370.
- 3. Gujadhur, R. K.; Venkataraman, D. Synth. Commun. 2001, 31, 2865-2879.
- Gujadhur, R. K.; Bates, C. G.; Venkataraman, D. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 4315–4317.
- 5. Ma, D. W.; Cai, Q. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3799-3802.
- 6. Cai, Q.; Zou, B.; Ma, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1276-1279.
- Buck, E.; Song, Z. J.; Tschaen, D.; Dormer, P. G.; Volante, R. P.; Reider, P. J. Org. Lett. 2002. 4. 1623–1626.
- 8. (a) Cristau, H. J.; Cellier, P. P.; Hamada, S.; Spindler, J. F.; Taillefer, M. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, 6, 913–916; (b) Ouali, A.; Spindler, J. F.; Cristau, H. J.; Taillefer, M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, 348, 499–505; (c) Ouali, A.; Spindler, J. F.; Cristau Jutand, A.; Taillefer, M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, 349, 1906–1916.
- 9. Rao, H.; Jin, Y.; Fu, H.; Jiang, Y.; Zhao, Y. F. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 3636-3646.
- Mino, T.; Shirae, Y.; Saito, T.; Sakamoto, M.; Fujita, T. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2191–2194.
- Mino, T.; Shirae, Y.; Saito, T.; Sakamoto, M.; Fujita, T. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9499–9502.